

# Knights and Knaves

P. Danziger

## 1 Deriving implications

We may derive an implication  $a \rightarrow b$  by first assuming  $a$  and then reasoning through logical forms and equivalences that  $b$  follows.

Assume $a$	(Assumption)
$\vdots$	logical
$\vdots$	deduction
$b$	(End Assumption)
$\therefore a \rightarrow b$	

## 2 Knights and Knaves

### 2.1 Explanation

On a certain island there are two types of people, **Knights** and **Knaves**.

Knights always tell the truth, so any statement made by them can be assumed to be true.

Knaves **always** lie, so any statement made by them can be assumed to be false.

The original Knights and Knaves problem is the following:

You are visiting the island of knights and knaves and wish to visit a famous ruin. On your way you reach a fork in the road and are unsure of which direction to take. By the side of the road there are two natives, the first one says: 'Watch out he's a knave', to which the other replies Oh no, he's the knave.'

What single question can you ask (of either) to find the way?

### 2.2 Formal rendering of Knights and Knaves

Here we give some explanation of Knights and Knaves problems.

1. All the knights and knaves problems assume that each person encountered is either a knight or a knave, but not both. More formally, if we decide to represent the statement P is a knight by  $p$ , then the statement P is a knave is represented by  $\sim p$
2. All knights and knaves problems assume that knights always tell the truth and knaves always lie. This means that each utterance any of the character makes is formalized into 2 statements:

If the character is a knight then the utterance is true *and*

If the character is a knave, then the utterance is false.

Formally this means that if P's statement is  $q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p &\rightarrow q && \text{and} \\ \sim p &\rightarrow \sim q && (\equiv q \rightarrow p). \end{aligned}$$

3. In knights and knaves we usually assume one of the characters is a knight or a knave and derive a contradiction, the rule of contradiction  $\left(\frac{p \rightarrow c}{\therefore \sim p}\right)$  then tells use that the opposite is true. Using  $p$  for P is a knight and  $q$  for P's statement we reason as below

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Assume } p & \text{(Assumption)} \\ q & \text{(so P's statement is true)} \\ \vdots & \\ c & \text{(End Assumption)} \\ p \rightarrow c & \text{(Proof of implication)} \\ \sim p & \text{(Contradiction)} \end{array}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Assume } \sim p & \text{(Assumption)} \\ \sim q & \text{(so P's statement is false)} \\ \vdots & \\ c & \text{(End Assumption)} \\ \sim p \rightarrow c & \text{(Proof of implication)} \\ p & \text{(Contradiction)} \end{array}$$